

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/052,286	HAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason T. Whipkey	2622	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Now abandoned

 (1) Jason Whipkey.

(3) _____.

 (2) Robert Colwell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2006
Time: 6:49 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called to verify that no response has been sent to the pending Office action and that the case could be abandoned.
The attorney for Applicant confirmed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)